

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/877,585	06/08/2001	William Colin Basford		1568	
7.	590 08/26/2003				
WILLIAM COLIN BAFORD			EXAMINER		
14 HEARTWO NEWMARKE			PATEL, K	PATEL, KIRAN B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			remailed 10,17.03 DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No. Applicant(s) BASFORD, WILLIAM COLIN 09/877,585 **Examiner** Art Unit

3612

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Kiran B. Patel

FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. THE REPLY FILED Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued

Exami	nation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) 🛭	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ь) [The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have bed 37 CFR (b) abov	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in e, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🖂	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>26-29 and 31-35</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-4,11-12,14-25,30.
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.🛛	Other: See Continuation Sheet
	Kiran B. Patel, P.E. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3612





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amendments to claims 26 and 30 will require further consideration and/or search and require a new Office Action.

Continuation of 10. Other: The Declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 7/30/03 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 26-29, 31-35 based upon insufficiency of disclosure under 35 U. S. C. 112, second paragraph and/or 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as set forth in the last Office action because: showing is not commensurate with the claims. The declaration was fully considered by Supervisor Mr. Pedder and Primary Examiner Kiran Patel and found to be non persuasive because the Declaration lacks valid arguments against the art rejection.

As requested by the Applicant, a conference call between Mr. Pedder, Mr Patel and Mr. Stone was attempted on 8/19/03. Mr. Stone was not available and Mr. Pedder left a detailed message.